

# CCIE Service Provider Lab Workbook v4.0

(<http://labs.ine.com/workbook/toc/service-provider-v4>) »

## CCIE SP v4 Advanced Technology Labs - MPLS TE

# MPLS TE Bandwidth Reservations

« [MPLS TE Explicit Paths \(/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/mpls-te-explicit-paths-Mjg4MQ%3D%3D\)](/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/mpls-te-explicit-paths-Mjg4MQ%3D%3D) | [MPLS TE Metric Manipulation \(/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/mpls-te-metric-manipulation-Mjg4Mw%3D%3D\)](/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/mpls-te-metric-manipulation-Mjg4Mw%3D%3D) »

Last updated: April 22, 2016

### Note:

**Initial Configuration & Diagrams:** [Load the initial configuration files for the section named MPLS TE with IS-IS, which can be found in CCIE SPv4 Topology Diagrams & Initial Configurations \(<http://labs.ine.com/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/ccie-spv4-topology-diagrams-initial-configs>\).](#) [Refer to the Base IPv4 Diagram in order to complete this task.](#)

### CONTENTS

## Task

- R2 and XR1 are preconfigured as PE routers for the MPLS L3VPN customer routers R1 and XR2, respectively, but the core of the Service Provider network is not running LDP.
- Configure the core of the Service Provider network to support MPLS TE tunnels as follows:
  - Enable MPLS TE support for the IS-IS Level 2 core.
  - Set the IS-IS MPLS TE Router-ID to be the Loopback0 interfaces.
  - Enable support for RSVP and MPLS TE on all transit interfaces running IS-IS in the core.
  - Configure R3 and R6 so that 30 Mbps can be reserved via RSVP on any of their interfaces.
  - Configure all other routers in the core so that 750 Mbps can be reserved via RSVP on any of their interfaces.
- Configure an MPLS TE tunnel from R2 to XR1 as follows:
  - Unnumber the tunnel to R2's Loopback0 interface.
  - Set the tunnel destination as XR1's Loopback0 interface.
  - Set the tunnel to request a bandwidth reservation of 50 Mbps.
  - Set the tunnel's path option to dynamic.
  - Configure Autoroute Announce on the tunnel so that the IS-IS core can use it for dynamic routing.
- Configure an MPLS TE tunnel from XR1 to R2 as follows:
  - Unnumber the tunnel to XR1's Loopback0 interface.
  - Set the tunnel destination as R2's Loopback0 interface.
  - Set the tunnel to request a bandwidth reservation of 35 Mbps
  - Set the tunnel's path option to dynamic.
  - Configure Autoroute Announce on the tunnel so that the IS-IS core can use it for dynamic routing.
- When complete, the following reachability should be achieved:
  - R1 and XR2 should have full IP reachability to each other, and a traceroute should indicate that their L3VPN tunnel is transiting over the MPLS TE tunnels in the core of the SP network.



```
R2:
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
!
interface Tunnel0
 ip unnumbered Loopback0
 tunnel mode mpls traffic-eng
 tunnel destination 19.19.19.19
 tunnel mpls traffic-eng autoroute announce
 tunnel mpls traffic-eng bandwidth 50000
 tunnel mpls traffic-eng path-option 1 dynamic
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.23
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
 ip rsvp bandwidth
!
```

```
interface GigabitEthernet1.24
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
 ip rsvp bandwidth
!
router isis
 metric-style wide
 mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0
 mpls traffic-eng level-2
```

```
R3:
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.23
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
 ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.34
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
 ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.36
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
 ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!
router isis
 metric-style wide
 mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0
 mpls traffic-eng level-2
```

```
R4:
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.24
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
 ip rsvp bandwidth
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.34
 mpls traffic-eng tunnels
```

```

ip rsvp bandwidth
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.45
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.46
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth
!
router isis
metric-style wide
mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0
mpls traffic-eng level-2

```

PS:

```

mpls traffic-eng tunnels
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.45
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.56
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.519
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth
!
router isis
metric-style wide
mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0
mpls traffic-eng level-2

```

R6:

```

mpls traffic-eng tunnels
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.36
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.46
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.56
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!
interface GigabitEthernet1.619
mpls traffic-eng tunnels
ip rsvp bandwidth 30000
!

```

```
router isis

metric-style wide

mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0

mpls traffic-eng level-2

XR1:

interface tunnel-te0

ipv4 unnumbered Loopback0

autoroute announce

signalled-bandwidth 35000

destination 2.2.2.2

path-option 1 dynamic

!

router isis 1

address-family ipv4 unicast

metric-style wide

mpls traffic-eng level-2-only

mpls traffic-eng router-id Loopback0

!

rsvp

interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0.519

bandwidth 750000

!

interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0.619

!

mpls traffic-eng

interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0.519

!

interface GigabitEthernet0/0/0/0.619

!

mpls ldp
```

## Verification

MPLS TE tunnel bandwidth reservations are used to help ensure that a Service Provider can conform to the Service Level Agreements (SLAs) they are selling to customers. Instead of simply using the addition of the bandwidth-based link costs as the deciding path selection metric, like OSPF does, MPLS TE tunnels can use the total bandwidth and available bandwidth values on a per-link basis that RSVP reports as part of the TE topology to calculate the path for an individual tunnel.

The basic logic behind this is that if the Service Provider has 10 customers, each of which has two sites and SLAs that guarantee 1 Gbps of transit bandwidth, the SP can provision 20 MPLS TE tunnels, each requesting 1 Gbps of bandwidth. Remember that because TE tunnels are unidirectional, there must be a tunnel from PE1 to PE2 and from PE2 back to PE1 to provide bidirectional tunneling. When the tunnels are provisioned, the actual path that they take through the SP core can be automatically determined based on the amount of available bandwidth. If the core consists of GigE, OC-48, TenGigE, and HundredGigE links, the tunnels will automatically be arranged in a way that gives each customer a dedicated 1Gbps reservation. The bandwidth available on each link is reported in the Traffic Engineering Database (TED), and the computing node uses this when computing CSPF.

Note, however, that the MPLS TE tunnel bandwidth reservation is only a reservation in the control plane; it is not a reservation in the data plane. This means that there is nothing preventing traffic going over a tunnel from actually using more bandwidth than the reservation says it is guaranteed. You must still enforce at the Service Provider edge the amount of bandwidth that is admitted to the network with some form of admission control, such as policing.

In this example, the core of the network is staged to support reservations up to 750 Mbps everywhere, and reservations up to 30 Mbps on R3 and R6. These values can be verified as follows:

```
R3#show ip rsvp interface

interface  rsvp      allocated  i/f max  flow max  sub max  VRF
Gi1        ena        0          750M    750M     0
Gi1.23     ena        0          30M     30M      0
Gi1.34     ena        0          30M     30M      0
Gi1.36     ena        0          30M     30M      0

R4#show ip rsvp interface

interface  rsvp      allocated  i/f max  flow max  sub max  VRF
Gi1        ena        0          750M    750M     0
Gi1.24     ena        35M       750M    750M     0
Gi1.34     ena        0          750M    750M     0
Gi1.45     ena        50M       750M    750M     0
Gi1.46     ena        0          750M    750M     0

RP/0/0/CPU0:XR1#show rsvp interface

Fri May 29 00:10:39.110 UTC

*: RDM: Default I/F B/W % : 75% [default] (max resv/bc0), 0% [default] (bc1)

Interface  MaxBW (bps)  MaxFlow (bps)  Allocated (bps)  MaxSub (bps)
-----
Gi0/0/0/0.519  750M        750M          35M ( 4%)        0
Gi0/0/0/0.619  750M        750M          0 ( 0%)          0
```

The above output shows that R3 can support up to 30 Mbps of reservations, but currently has no reservations actually in use. R4 can support up to 750 Mbps of reservations, and it currently has reservations of 35 Mbps and 50 Mbps on interfaces Gig1.24 and Gig1.45. XR1 can support up to 750 Mbps of reservations, and it currently has 35 Mbps reserved on Gig0/0/0/0.519. Note that in regular IOS when you issue the `ip rsvp bandwidth` command at the interface level, the default amount of reservable bandwidth is 75% of the configured `bandwidth` command. In IOS XR, there is no default and the amount of bandwidth available for reservations must be manually specified.

The details of all links through the entire TE topology, along with their current reservations and available bandwidth, can be verified at any point in the core network as follows.

R5#show mpls traffic-eng topology 4.4.4.4

IGP Id: 0000.0000.0004.00, MPLS TE Id:4.4.4.4 Router Node (isis level-2) id 48

link[0]: Broadcast, DR: 0000.0000.0002.02, nbr\_node\_id:41, gen:101

frag\_id: 0, Intf Address: 20.2.4.4

TE metric: 10, IGP metric: 10, attribute flags: 0x0

SRLGs: None

physical\_bw: 1000000 (kbps), max\_reservable\_bw\_global: 750000 (kbps)

max\_reservable\_bw\_sub: 0 (kbps)

	Global Pool	Sub Pool
Total Allocated	Reservable	Reservable
BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)
-----	-----	-----

bw[0]:	0	750000	0
bw[1]:	0	750000	0
bw[2]:	0	750000	0
bw[3]:	0	750000	0
bw[4]:	0	750000	0
bw[5]:	0	750000	0
bw[6]:	0	750000	0
bw[7]:	35000	715000	0

link[1]: Broadcast, DR: 0000.0000.0003.02, nbr\_node\_id:53, gen:101

frag\_id: 0, Intf Address: 20.3.4.4

TE metric: 10, IGP metric: 10, attribute flags: 0x0

SRLGs: None

physical\_bw: 1000000 (kbps), max\_reservable\_bw\_global: 750000 (kbps)

max\_reservable\_bw\_sub: 0 (kbps)

	Global Pool	Sub Pool
Total Allocated	Reservable	Reservable
BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)
-----	-----	-----

bw[0]:	0	750000	0
bw[1]:	0	750000	0
bw[2]:	0	750000	0
bw[3]:	0	750000	0
bw[4]:	0	750000	0
bw[5]:	0	750000	0
bw[6]:	0	750000	0
bw[7]:	0	750000	0

link[2]: Broadcast, DR: 0000.0000.0004.03, nbr\_node\_id:46, gen:101

frag\_id: 0, Intf Address: 20.4.5.4

TE metric: 10, IGP metric: 10, attribute flags: 0x0

SRLGs: None

physical\_bw: 1000000 (kbps), max\_reservable\_bw\_global: 750000 (kbps)

max\_reservable\_bw\_sub: 0 (kbps)

	Global Pool	Sub Pool
Total Allocated	Reservable	Reservable

	BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)
	-----	-----	-----
bw[0]:	0	750000	0
bw[1]:	0	750000	0
bw[2]:	0	750000	0
bw[3]:	0	750000	0
bw[4]:	0	750000	0
bw[5]:	0	750000	0
bw[6]:	0	750000	0
bw[7]:	50000	700000	0

link[3]: Broadcast, DR: 0000.0000.0006.02, nbr\_node\_id:55, gen:101

frag\_id: 0, Intf Address: 20.4.6.4

TE metric: 10, IGP metric: 10, attribute flags: 0x0

SRLGs: None

physical\_bw: 1000000 (kbps) max\_reservable\_bw\_global: 750000 (kbps)

max\_reservable\_bw\_sub: 0 (kbps)

		Global Pool	Sub Pool
	Total Allocated	Reservable	Reservable
	BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)	BW (kbps)
	-----	-----	-----
bw[0]:	0	750000	0
bw[1]:	0	750000	0
bw[2]:	0	750000	0
bw[3]:	0	750000	0
bw[4]:	0	750000	0
bw[5]:	0	750000	0
bw[6]:	0	750000	0
bw[7]:	0	750000	0

R2#show mpls traffic-eng tunnels

P2P TUNNELS/LSPs:

Name: R2\_t0 (Tunnel0) Destination: 19.19.19.19

Status:

Admin: up Oper: up Path: valid Signalling: connected

path option 10, type dynamic (Basis for Setup, path weight 21)

Config Parameters:

Bandwidth: 50000 kbps (Global) Priority: 7 7 Affinity: 0x0/0xFFFF

Metric Type: TE (default)

AutoRoute: enabled LockDown: disabled Loadshare: 50000 [40000] bw-based

auto-bw: disabled

Active Path Option Parameters:

State: dynamic path option 10 is active

BandwidthOverride: disabled LockDown: disabled Verbatim: disabled

InLabel : -

OutLabel : GigabitEthernet1.24, 18

Next Hop : 20.2.4.4

```

RSVP Signalling Info:
    Src 2.2.2.2, Dst 19.19.19.19, Tun_Id 0, Tun_Instance 7

RSVP Path Info:
    My Address: 20.2.4.2
    Explicit Route: 20.2.4.4 20.4.5.4 20.4.5.5 20.5.19.5
                    20.5.19.19 19.19.19.19
    Record Route: NONE
    Tspec: ave rate=50000 kbits, burst=1000 bytes, peak rate=50000 kbits

RSVP Resv Info:
    Record Route: NONE
    Fspec: ave rate=50000 kbits, burst=1000 bytes, peak rate=50000 kbits

History:
    Tunnel:
        Time since created: 36 minutes, 27 seconds
        Time since path change: 1 minutes, 11 seconds
        Number of LSP IDs (Tun_Instances) used: 7

```

```

Current LSP: [ID: 7]
    Uptime: 1 minutes, 11 seconds
    Prior LSP: [ID: 4]
        ID: path option unknown
        Removal Trigger: signalling shutdown

LSP Tunnel XR1_t0 is signalled, connection is up
InLabel : GigabitEthernet1.24, implicit-null
Prev Hop : 20.2.4.4
OutLabel : -

RSVP Signalling Info:
    Src 19.19.19.19, Dst 2.2.2.2, Tun_Id 0, Tun_Instance 4

RSVP Path Info:
    My Address: 2.2.2.2
    Explicit Route: NONE
    Record Route: NONE
    Tspec: ave rate=35000 kbits, burst=1000 bytes, peak rate=35000 kbits

RSVP Resv Info:
    Record Route: NONE
    Fspec: ave rate=35000 kbits, burst=1000 bytes, peak rate=35000 kbits

P2MP TUNNELS:

P2MP SUB-LSPS:

```

We can also look at the link-manager, a data-structure maintained by the routers which keeps track of RSVP and MPLS-TE information. The link-manager performs admission control each time an RSVP message arrives at the router requesting resources. Additionally, it keep track of what information has been flooded or is pending to be flooded.

```
R2#show mpls traffic-eng link-management admission-control
```

```
System Information::
```

```
P2P LSP:
```

```
LSPS: 2 (Total Admitted: 60 , Total Deleted: 58 )
```

```
P2MP subLSP:
```

```
subLSPs: 0 (Total Admitted: 0 , Total Deleted: 0 )
```

```
P2P LSP:
```

LSP ID	UP IF	DOWN IF	PRIORITY	STATE	BW (kbps)	
2.2.2.2_1->19.19.19.1	-	Gi1.24	7/7	Resv Admitted	50000	RG
19.19.19.19_2->2.2.2.2	Gi1.24	-	7/7	Resv Admitted	0	U

```
P2MP subLSP:
```

Src->Dst	UP IF	DOWN IF	PRIORITY	STATE	BW (kbps)
----------	-------	---------	----------	-------	-----------

```
R4#show mpls traffic-eng link-management admission-control
```

```
System Information::
```

```
P2P LSP:
```

```
LSPS: 2 (Total Admitted: 54 , Total Deleted: 52 )
```

```
P2MP subLSP:
```

```
subLSPs: 0 (Total Admitted: 0 , Total Deleted: 0 )
```

```
P2P LSP:
```

LSP ID	UP IF	DOWN IF	PRIORITY	STATE	BW (kbps)	
2.2.2.2_1->19.19.19.1	Gi1.24	Gi1.45	7/7	Resv Admitted	50000	RG
19.19.19.19_2->2.2.2.2	Gi1.45	Gi1.24	7/7	Resv Admitted	35000	RG

```
P2MP subLSP:
```

Src->Dst	UP IF	DOWN IF	PRIORITY	STATE	BW (kbps)
----------	-------	---------	----------	-------	-----------

Note that the link-manager only keeps track of local interface data, unlike the TED or IGP database which is distributed.

R4#show mpls traffic-eng link-management interfaces

System Information::

Links Count: 4

Link ID:: Gi1.24 (20.2.4.4)

Local Intfc ID: 6

Link Status:

SRLGs: None

Intfc Switching Capability Descriptors:

Default: Intfc Switching Cap psc1, Encoding ethernet

Link Label Type: Packet

Physical Bandwidth: 1000000 kbits/sec

Max Res Global BW: 750000 kbits/sec (reserved: 0% in, 5% out)

Max Res Sub BW: 0 kbits/sec (reserved: 100% in, 100% out)

MPLS TE Link State: MPLS TE on, RSVP on, admin-up, flooded, allocated

Inbound Admission: reject-huge

Outbound Admission: allow-if-room

Link MTU: IP 1500, MPLS 1500

Admin. Weight: 10 (IGP)

IGP Neighbor Count: 1

Neighbor: ID 0000.0000.0002.02, IP 0.0.0.0 (Up)

Flooding Status for each configured area [1]:

IGP Area[1]: isis level-2: flooded

Link ID:: Gi1.34 (20.3.4.4)

Local Intfc ID: 7

Link Status:

SRLGs: None

Intfc Switching Capability Descriptors:

Default: Intfc Switching Cap psc1, Encoding ethernet

Link Label Type: Packet

Physical Bandwidth: 1000000 kbits/sec

Max Res Global BW: 750000 kbits/sec (reserved: 0% in, 0% out)

Max Res Sub BW: 0 kbits/sec (reserved: 100% in, 100% out)

MPLS TE Link State: MPLS TE on, RSVP on, admin-up, flooded

Inbound Admission: reject-huge

Outbound Admission: allow-if-room

Link MTU: IP 1500, MPLS 1500

Admin. Weight: 10 (IGP)

IGP Neighbor Count: 1

Neighbor: ID 0000.0000.0003.02, IP 0.0.0.0 (Up)

Flooding Status for each configured area [1]:

IGP Area[1]: isis level-2: flooded

Link ID:: Gi1.45 (20.4.5.4)

Local Intfc ID: 8

Link Status:

SRLGs: None

Intfc Switching Capability Descriptors:

Default: Intfc Switching Cap psc1, Encoding ethernet

Link Label Type: Packet

Physical Bandwidth: 1000000 kbits/sec

Max Res Global BW: 750000 kbits/sec (reserved: 0% in, 7% out)

Max Res Sub BW: 0 kbits/sec (reserved: 100% in, 100% out)

MPLS TE Link State: MPLS TE on, RSVP on, admin-up, flooded, allocated

Inbound Admission: reject-huge

```

Outbound Admission: allow-if-room
Link MTU: IP 1500, MPLS 1500
Admin. Weight: 10 (IGP)
IGP Neighbor Count: 1
Neighbor: ID 0000.0000.0004.03, IP 0.0.0.0 (Up)
Flooding Status for each configured area [1]:
IGP Area[1]: isis level-2: flooded
Link ID:: Gi1.46 (20.4.6.4)
Local Intfc ID: 9
Link Status:
SRLGs: None
Intfc Switching Capability Descriptors:
Default: Intfc Switching Cap psc1, Encoding ethernet
Link Label Type: Packet
Physical Bandwidth: 1000000 kbits/sec
Max Res Global BW: 750000 kbits/sec (reserved: 0% in, 0% out)
Max Res Sub BW: 0 kbits/sec (reserved: 100% in, 100% out)
MPLS TE Link State: MPLS TE on, RSVP on, admin-up, flooded
Inbound Admission: reject-huge
Outbound Admission: allow-if-room
Link MTU: IP 1500, MPLS 1500
Admin. Weight: 10 (IGP)
IGP Neighbor Count: 1
Neighbor: ID 0000.0000.0006.02, IP 0.0.0.0 (Up)
Flooding Status for each configured area [1]:
IGP Area[1]: isis level-2: flooded

```

>

CONTENTS

Like the OSPF or IS-IS databases, the TED output can show all routers in the topology at the same time, or it can show just an individual node by referencing its MPLS TE Router-ID, as seen above. In this case, we see that the node with Router-ID 4.4.4.4 (R4) has a link with address 20.4.5.4 (the link to R5), which has a total bandwidth of 1000 Mbps, a maximum reservable bandwidth of 750 Mbps (75% by default of the total bandwidth), 50 Mbps actually reserved, and 700 Mbps left over. The number 7 of the reservation refers to the TE tunnel's setup and hold priority that actually made the reservation. If a new reservation were to come in for 65 Mbps, with a higher setup priority (lower numerical value), the new tunnel could preempt the old tunnel's reservation. In this manner, the core of the network can offer prioritization of tunnel preference.

The final result of this configuration is that the tunnel from R2 to XR1 and the tunnel from XR1 to R2 avoid the links of R3 and R6, because they do not have enough available bandwidth for reservation. This can be seen in the traceroute outputs below.

```

R1#traceroute 20.20.20.20

Type escape sequence to abort.

Tracing the route to 20.20.20.20

VRF info: (vrf in name/id, vrf out name/id)

 1 10.1.2.2 4 msec 1 msec 1 msec
 2 20.2.4.4 [MPLS: Labels 18/16015 Exp 0] 9 msec 8 msec 6 msec
 3 20.4.5.5 [MPLS: Labels 17/16015 Exp 0] 25 msec 31 msec 31 msec
 4 20.5.19.19 21 msec 14 msec 15 msec
 5 10.19.20.20 16 msec * 10 msec

```

```

RP/0/0/CPU0:XR2#traceroute 1.1.1.1

Fri May 29 00:24:08.524 UTC

Type escape sequence to abort.

```

```

Tracing the route to 1.1.1.1

 1 10.19.20.19 0 msec 0 msec 0 msec
 2 20.5.19.5 [MPLS: Labels 18/19 Exp 0] 29 msec 9 msec 9 msec
 3 20.4.5.4 [MPLS: Labels 16/19 Exp 0] 9 msec 0 msec 0 msec
 4 10.1.2.2 [MPLS: Label 19 Exp 0] 49 msec 19 msec 9 msec
 5 10.1.2.1 0 msec * 0 msec

```

The disadvantage of the design of this specific scenario is that if any of the links of either R4 or R5 go down, both tunnels will go down, because there is not enough bandwidth for them to recalculate to use the paths via R3 or R6. The link failure and resulting tunnel teardown are shown below.

>

CONTENTS

```

R2#debug mpls traffic-eng tunnel error

TE-SIG-HE: Tunnel0 [7]: path verification failed (unprotected) [Can't use link 20.5.19.5 on node 5.5.5.5]

R2#

TE-SIG-LM: tunnel path/reservation teardown failed: Tunnel not found (state may have been deleted already)

R2#

%LINEPROTO-5-UPDOWN: Line protocol on Interface Tunnel0, changed state to down

R5#config t

Enter configuration commands, one per line. End with CNTL/Z.

R5(config)#int Gig1

R5(config-if)#shut

R5(config-if)#

%LINK-5-CHANGED: Interface GigabitEthernet1, changed state to administratively down

%LINEPROTO-5-UPDOWN: Line protocol on Interface GigabitEthernet1, changed state to

down

R5(config-if)#

```

Because R2 has no alternate path in the topology with an available bandwidth of 50 Mbps, the tunnel cannot reroute around the failure.

If the bandwidth requirements were lowered, the tunnel would automatically set up again via the R3 and R6 path. We can validate this by looking at the following command, which displays what CSPF would compute given a particular input. There is no valid destination when 50 Mbps are requested - this is already known as the tunnel went down.

```
R2#show mpls traffic-eng topology path destination 19.19.19.19 bandwidth 50000
```

Query Parameters:

Destination: 19.19.19.19

Bandwidth: 50000

Priorities: 0 (setup), 0 (hold)

Affinity: 0x0 (value), 0xFFFFFFFF (mask)

Query Results:

% No matching path to destination, 19.19.19.19

If less bandwidth is requested, 10 Mbps for example, CSPF would be able to find a valid path:

```
R2#show mpls traffic-eng topology path destination 19.19.19.19 bandwidth 10000
```

Query Parameters:

Destination: 19.19.19.19

Bandwidth: 10000

Priorities: 0 (setup), 0 (hold)

Affinity: 0x0 (value), 0xFFFFFFFF (mask)

Query Results:

Min Bandwidth Along Path: 0 (kbps)

Max Bandwidth Along Path: 750000 (kbps)

Hop 0: 20.2.4.2 : affinity 0x00000000, bandwidth 750000 (kbps)

Hop 1: 20.2.4.4 : affinity 0x00000000, bandwidth 750000 (kbps)

Hop 2: 20.4.6.4 : affinity 0x00000000, bandwidth 750000 (kbps)

Hop 3: 20.4.6.6 : affinity 0x00000000, bandwidth 30000 (kbps)

Hop 4: 20.6.19.6 : affinity 0x00000000, bandwidth 30000 (kbps)

Hop 5: 20.6.19.19 : affinity 0x00000000, bandwidth 0 (kbps)

Hop 6: 19.19.19.19

This can be further verified by actually requesting 10Mbps from the tunnel:

```

R2#debug ip rsvp signalling
RSVP signalling debugging is on

R2#conf t
Enter configuration commands, one per line. End with CNTL/Z.
R2(config)#interface Tunnel0
R2(config-if)# tunnel mpls traffic-eng bandwidth 10000
R2(config-if)#end
R2#
%LINEPROTO-5-UPDOWN: Line protocol on Interface Tunnel0, changed state to up

R2#show mpls traffic-eng tunnels

P2P TUNNELS/LSPs:

```

```

Name: R2_t0 (Tunnel0) Destination: 19.19.19.19
Status:
  Admin: up      Oper: up      Path: valid      Signalling: connected
  path option 10, type dynamic (Basis for Setup, path weight 21)

Config Parameters:
  Bandwidth: 10000 kbps (Global) Priority: 7 7 Affinity: 0x0/0xFFFF
  Metric Type: TE (default)
  AutoRoute: enabled LockDown: disabled Loadshare: 10000 [200000] bw-based
  auto-bw: disabled

Active Path Option Parameters:
  State: dynamic path option 10 is active
  BandwidthOverride: disabled LockDown: disabled Verbatim: disabled

InLabel : -
OutLabel : GigabitEthernet1.24, 19
Next Hop : 20.2.4.4

RSVP Signalling Info:
  Src 2.2.2.2, Dst 19.19.19.19, Tun_Id 0, Tun_Instance 21

RSVP Path Info:
  My Address: 20.2.4.2
  Explicit Route: 20.2.4.4 20.4.6.4 20.4.6.6 20.6.19.6
                  20.6.19.19 19.19.19.19
  Record Route: NONE
  Tspec: ave rate=10000 kbits, burst=1000 bytes, peak rate=10000 kbits

RSVP Resv Info:
  Record Route: NONE
  Fspec: ave rate=10000 kbits, burst=1000 bytes, peak rate=10000 kbits

History:
Tunnel:
  Time since created: 42 minutes, 45 seconds
  Time since path change: 42 seconds
  Number of LSP IDs (Tun_Instances) used: 21
Current LSP: [ID: 21]
  Uptime: 42 seconds
Prior LSP: [ID: 7]

```

ID: path option unknown

Removal Trigger: path verification failed

P2MP TUNNELS:

P2MP SUB-LSPS:

« MPLS TE Explicit Paths (/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/mpls-te-explicit-paths-Mjg4MQ%3D%3D) |  
MPLS TE Metric Manipulation (/workbook/view/service-provider-v4/task/mpls-te-metric-manipulation-  
Mjg4Mw%3D%3D) »

---

CONTENTS ▼